Mexanuna, vilginia 22010-1400 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/066,299	01/31/2002	Mindaugas F. Dautartas	23091/24 (ACT180)	2059	
7	590 04/23/2004		EXAMINER		
Jonathan D Baskin			PERALTA, GINETTE		
Edwards & An P O Box 9169	gell LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Boston, MA	02209		2814		
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			e i Graita	2014	
The MAILI Period for Reply	NG DATE of this commu	unication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address
THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time marter SIX (6) MONTH: - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	is specified above, the maximum	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nommunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply are ply will, by statute, cause the is after the mailing date of this	statutory minimum of ad will expire SIX (6) N application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered to the considered to the control of	nis communication.
Status					
2a) This action 3) Since this a		2b)⊠ This action i on for allowance exc	s non-final. ept for formal m	atters, prosecution as to c.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is
Disposition of Clain	ns				
4)	32 is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 32 is/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to resti	/are withdrawn from			
Application Papers					
10)∭ The drawing Applicant ma Replacemer	nt drawing sheet(s) includi	re: a) accepted or jection to the drawing (s) be held in abey quired if the drawi	to by the Examiner. vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a ng(s) is objected to. See 37 ned Office Action or form	7 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119				
a) All b) 1. Certi 2. Certi 3. Copi appli	· ·	ty documents have b ty documents have b s of the priority docu tional Bureau (PCT f	peen received. peen received in Iments have be Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this Nation	nal Stage
Attachment(s)					
1) 🕅 Notice of Reference	s Cited (PTO-892)		4) Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 12 recites "the optical device of claim 29 wherein the substrate and the lid comprise silicon, and the"; the statement is incomplete, this omission rendering the claim indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 7, 8, 10, 12, 13, 20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jacobowitz et al. (U. S. Pat. 5,333,225).

Jacobowitz et al. discloses in Fig. 4 an optical submount comprising a substrate 4, a trench 33 in the substrate 4 for holding an optoelectronic device 19 on-edge; an

electrical connection pit 34 adjoining the trench; a metallization layer in the electrical connection pit (col. 9, ll. 22-25); and an optoelectronic device disposed on-edge in the trench, wherein the optoelectronic device has a contact pad soldered to the metallization layer; wherein there are at least two electrical connection pits 34; and wherein the electrical connection pits are disposed on the same side of the trench; wherein the electrical pit is at least partially filled with solder.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-6, 9, 11, 14-19, 21, and 23-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobowitz et al. in view of Sakaino et al. (U. S. Pat. 5,909,523).

Jacobowitz et al. discloses in Fig. 4 an optical submount comprising a substrate 4, a trench 33 in the substrate 4 for holding an optoelectronic device 19 on-edge; an electrical connection pit 34 adjoining the trench; a metallization layer in the electrical connection pit (col. 9, ll. 22-25); and an optoelectronic device disposed on-edge in the trench, wherein the optoelectronic device has a contact pad soldered to the metallization layer; wherein there are at least two electrical connection pits 34; and

wherein the electrical connection pits are disposed on the same side of the trench; wherein the electrical pit is at least partially filled with solder.

Jacobowitz et al. discloses the claimed invention with the exception of a groove in the substrate adjoining the trench, an optical fiber disposed in the groove, and the structure further comprising a lid disposed over the substrate.

Sakaino et al. teaches in Fig. 15 a conventional optical submount that comprises a substrate; a trench in the substrate for holding an optoelectronic device on-edge; a groove in the substrate adjoining the trench; an optical fiber disposed in the groove; wherein the groove is perpendicular to the trench; wherein an optoelectronic device is disposed in the trench; wherein the optoelectronic device includes a contact pad, and the contact pad is soldered to the metallization layer; a lid disposed over the substrate; and the trench does not extend to an edge of the substrate; wherein the groove adjoining the trench is formed for the disclosed intended purpose of providing an area in which to mount a lens of the optoelectronic device; and the lid disposed over the substrate is taught for the disclosed intended purpose of enclosing the structure in a package.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a groove like the one taught by Sakaino et al. and to include in the structure a lid for the disclosed intended purposes of Sakaino et al. of providing an area in which to mount a lens of the optoelectronic device; and enclosing

Jacobowitz et al. further teaches the use of optical fiber in v-groove mounts on the optoelectronic device; that the substrate comprises <100> silicon.

With regards to the limitations of the trench being formed by a dicing saw or by a directional dry etching, and the connection pit being formed by an anisotropical wet etch it is noted that the presence of process limitations on product claims, which product does not otherwise patentably distinguish over prior art, cannot impart patentability to the product. *In re Stephens 145 USPQ 656 (CCPA 1965)*. Thus, as both Jacobowitz et al. and Sakaino et al. show a trench in a substrate, and it is well known in the art that there are several methods of forming a trench, and that these methods result in a trench being formed at a desired location, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any well known process to form the trench without resulting in a patentably distinct structure.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ginette Peralta whose telephone number is (571)272-1713. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00 AM- 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571)272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GP

Weel tabout